UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Roderic Russell Payne, Jr.	Case No. 1:10-cr-00058-RHB
	Defendant	
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refo efendant be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Fir	idings of Fact
(1)		n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of at would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	n convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv	olves:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm of a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon . § 2250
(2)		hile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumperson or the community. I further find that defendant	option that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another that not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative	e Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years on Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et se	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estal will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance are	olished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
1 (1)		e Findings (B)
, ,	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	
		he Reasons for Detention
evidence 1. Defer 2. Defer	a preponderance of the evidence that: Idant waived his detention hearing, electing not to confidant is subject to a hold/detainer and would not be rel	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	March 16, 2010	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge